



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,182	12/18/2001	Jean-Claude Sauvestre	032013-036	8471	
21839 7	590 05/14/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P			BERGIN, JAMES S		
POST OFFICE ALEXANDRIA	BOX 1404 A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3641		
			DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.

10/018,182

Examiner

James S. Bergin

Applicant(s)

SAUVESTRE, JEAN-CLAUDE

Art Unit

3641

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 04 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED
. •	MICHAEL J. C. COLOR
10.	Other:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
	Claim(s) rejected. <u>1,5,7,10-12,14,15 and 20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>4,6,8,9,13 and 16-19</u> .
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,5,7,10-12,14,15 and 20</u> .
	Claim(s) allowed:
,	
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
(d	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
(c	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>14 April 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
ree nav fee und (2) as s	extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension we been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension der 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
b) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than \$IX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
a) [
LAGII	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
Exam	nination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim 1 would require further consideration and searching, thereby placing an undue burden on the examiner. Combination & 1,5 &7 not previously presented